Estimation of Microalgae Biodiesel Quality Based on Fatty Acid Compositions



Weena Sitthithanaboon 1,2*, Vittaya Punsuvon1, 2, 3, Jantana Praiboon4

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand.

²Central for Advanced Studies in Tropical Natural Resource, NRU-KU, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand.

³Central of Excellence-Oil Palm, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand.

⁴Department of Fishery Biology, Faculty of Fisheries, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900 Thailand.

*corresponding author E- mail: noo-bonus2009@hotmail.com



ABTRACT:

Microalgaes are feedstocks for second generation fuel that can be used as a renewable source of biodiesel with the potential to displace petroleum fuel. They produce oils with high lipid content, fast growing rates and capable of growing in saline waters. Six species of microalgae, Nannochloropsis sp., Chlorella sp., Dunaliella sp., Isochrysis galbana., Tetraselmis sp. and Chaetoseros sp. from marine were investigated in our work. The fatty acid compositions obtained from transesterification method were determined by gas chromatography with flame ionization detector (GC-FID). The chromatogram showed palmitic acid (C16:0), oleic acid (C18:1) and linoleic acid (C18:2) were the major acids in all species. In addition, fatty acid compositions were used to predict the quality of fatty acid methyl ester or biodiesel by empirical determination. Three values; saponification number (SN), iodine value (IV) and cetane number (CN) were obtained from determination. The result showed SN and IV were varied from 161.03 to 192.60 and 43.51 to 63.95 respectively. The CN value of every species were higher than 51 that limited for ignition property of fuel. In addition, fatty acid compositions obtained from methylation with boron trifluoride were compared the estimation CN value with transesterification method. Thus in this research, fatty acid composition suggested that our six species of microalgae can generate biodiesel with high quality.

To screen 6 microalgae species by investigated lipid content and fatty acid profile that used for estimating the biodiesel properties. The iodine value (IV), saponification number (SN) and cetane number (CN) were predicted from FAMEs composition by gas chromatography (GC).

METHOD:

Lipid content







Fatty acid composition







aporate CH₂Cl laye









Estimation of biodiesel properties

$$SN = \frac{(560x A_i)}{MW_i}$$

$$IV = \frac{(254xDx A_i)}{MW_i}$$

$$QN = 46.2 \cdot 5450 \cdot 0.005 \cdot W$$

A_i is the percentage, D is the number of double bond and MW_i is the molecular mass of fatty acid

CONCLUSIONS:

In this study different two method, transesterification with sodium methoxide and BF3 method were applied for converting lipid to fatty acid methyl ester. It was observed that tansesterification method present the best result on fatty acid acid compositions. The result showed high amount of most identify fatty acid and less amount of unidentify fatty acid. While BF3 method showed less amount of identify fatty acid and high amount of unidentify fatty acid. Both results indicate that transesterification method is better than BF3 method in fatty acid composition analysis for wet microalgae sample.

The microalgae biodiesel qualities estimated on SN, IV and CN value have desirable value within the requirement of EN standard. In addition, Chlorella sp. is selected as raw material for our further study in biodiesel production process

RESULT:

Fatty acid	Microalgae species									
(%)	Nannochloropsis	Chlorella	Isochrysis galgana	Tetraselmis	Dunaliella	Chaetoseros				
C12:0	$0.00, (0.00)^*$	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00				
C14:0	0.00, (3.59)	1.05, (1.24)	1.19, (23.25)	1.20, (5.50)	1.08, (1.50)	0.79, (17.5				
C14:1	1.44, (0.00)	0.27, (0.00)	0.45, (0.00)	0.24, (0.00)	0.32, (0.00)	0.48, (0.00				
C16:0	29.59, (4.10)	36.53,(1.91)	44.63, (2.86)	48.19, (1.80)	39.16, (3.11)	28.79, (27.7				
C16:1	0.00, (0.00)	0.48, (0.00)	0.55, (0.00)	0.48, (0.00)	0.69, (0.00)	0.75, (0.00				
C18:0	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (11.47)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00				
C18:1	38.22, (27.64)	41.82, (5.92)	37.20, (35.96)	34.25, (32.58)	36.06, (11.82)	45.40, (15.3				
C18:2n6c	2.85, (0.00)	10.85, (25.8)	8.47, (4.13)	8.70, (0.00)	10.42, (0.00)	10.11, (0.00				
C18:2n6t	7.02, (11.49)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (12.49)	0.00, (13.45)	0.00, (2.48				
C18:3n6	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (1.15)	0.00, (0.00				
C18:3n3	1.21, (8.71)	0.82, (11.78)	0.06, (1.24)	0.26, (5.85)	0.49, (23.29)	0.00, (5.18				
C20:0	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.16, (0.00)	0.26, (0.00)	0.06, (0.00)	0.25, (0.00				
C20:1n9	0.90, (0.00)	0.25, (0.00)	0.17, (0.00)	0.23, (0.00)	0.17, (0.00)	0.75, (0.00				
C20:2	1.12, (0.00)	0.40, (0.00)	0.37, (0.00)	0.02, (0.00)	0.29, (0.00)	1.08, (0.00				
C20:3n6	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00				
C20:3n3	1.62, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.09, (0.00)	0.26, (0.00)	0.29, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00				
C20:4	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (1.45)	0.00, (0.00				
C20:5	0.41, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.22, (0.00)	0.15, (0.00)	0.00, (4.27				
C22:0	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.19, (0.00)	0.77, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	1.54, (0.00				
C22:1n9	0.53, (0.00)	0.23, (0.00)	0.00, (0.45)	0.00, (0.00)	0.07, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00				
C24:0	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.28, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00				
C22:6	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00)	0.00, (1.08)	0.03, (0.00)	0.47, (0.00)	0.00, (0.00				
Unidentify	15.09, (43.49)	7.30, (42.60)	6.47, (31.04)	4.64, (41.78)	10.28, (41.92)	10.06, (25.2				

Table 2 Percentage of lipid content and estimated parameter of microalgae biodiesel obtained from transesterification method and methylation with BF3

I		Lipid content (% dry wt.)	SN		IV		CN	
I	Name		Trans.1	BF ₃ ²	Trans.	BF_3	Trans.	BF_3
	Nannochloropsis sp.	5.85 ± 0.44	166.19	109.64	60.69	66.19	65.53	81.19
	Chlorella sp.	16.46 <u>+</u> 1.51	182.37	109.76	58.13	79.01	63.16	78.25
	Dunaliella sp.	11.24 <u>+</u> 1.13	177.02	112.20	54.54	101.60	64.87	72.09
	Isochrysis galbana	7.45 ± 0.52	185.49	140.06	48.08	45.77	64.91	74.99
	Chaetoseros sp.	5.12 <u>+</u> 0.55	175.10	153.90	59.32	48.03	64.15	70.96
	Tetraselmis sp.	9.47 ± 0.38	189.85	112.92	47.35	64.70	64.40	80.07

This work was supported by the Higher Education Research and National Research University Project of Thailand, office of Higher Education Commission, we also would like to thank the center of Excellence-oil Palm, Kasetsart University for partial support of this research